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ABSTRACT: Herein we report a nickel-catalyzed C–C bond-forming reaction between simple alkyl aziridines and organozinc reagents. This method represents the first catalytic cross-coupling reaction employing a nonallylic and nonbenzylic C\(_{sp}^3\)-N bond as an electrophile. Key to its success is the use of a new N-protecting group (cinsyl or Cn) bearing an electron-deficient olefin that directs oxidative addition and facilitates reductive elimination. Studies pertinent to elucidation of the mechanism of cross coupling are also presented.

INTRODUCTION

The past five years have witnessed the rapid development of innovative cross-coupling methods that enable functionalization of the C–O and C–N bonds of organic substrates. These reactions benefit from the wide availability, low toxicity, and orthogonal reactivity of ethers and amines compared with traditional organic halide electrophiles. Whereas significant progress has been made toward the coupling of aryl, alkenyl, and activated allylic and benzylic C–O/N bond-containing electrophiles, the coupling of substrates bearing unactivated C\(_{sp}^3\)-O/N bonds remains essentially unknown. To exploit the full potential of C–O/N activation in cross coupling, it is critical that catalysts and strategies be developed that engage the broad range of less activated amine and ether substrates.

We recently disclosed the first example of catalytic cross coupling of N-sulfonyl aziridines with organozinc reagents (eq 1). Good yields and high regio- and diastereoselectivities were obtained in the synthesis of a variety of \(\beta\)-substituted amines. Unfortunately, however, this reaction was limited to styrenyl aziridine substrates, which undergo relatively facile oxidative addition due to (i) attenuation of the C–N bond strength at the benzylic site and/or (ii) precoordination of the nickel catalyst with the arene. Also, the organometallic intermediates resulting from oxidative addition to a styrenyl aziridine likely benefit from greater stability to \(\beta\)-hydride elimination based on \(\pi\)-benzyl stabilization. Indeed, although Hillhouse and Wolfe have shown that transition metals can undergo stoichiometric oxidative addition to aliphatic N-tosyl aziridines, no catalytic coupling reactions exploiting this reactivity have been reported. Instead, the reaction of Pd(0) with this class of aziridines affords imines by a \(\beta\)-hydride elimination-initiated isomerization.

Herein we report our efforts to expand the substrate scope of our previously reported method to include simple alkyl aziridines. To do so, we considered whether it would be possible to use the protecting group on the nitrogen to coordinate a transition metal catalyst and accelerate the rate of a desired cross-coupling event. In this article, we present the successful design of such a protecting group and its application to cross-coupling reactions of unactivated aziridines (eq 2). To the best of our knowledge, this method represents the first catalytic cross coupling using an electrophile bearing a nonbenzylidene allylic C\(_{sp}^3\)-N bond.

The design of the new aziridine protecting group was influenced by two observations made during our work with styrenyl aziridines. First, and consistent with stoichiometric studies using nickel and palladium, we noted that styrenyl aziridines bearing electron-withdrawing protecting groups were uniquely capable of undergoing productive oxidative addition with a nickel catalyst. Furthermore, we observed that electron-deficient olefin ligands, such as dimethyl fumarate, promoted efficient cross coupling by accelerating reductive elimination, whereas more traditional phosphine or amine-based ligands were unreactive (or led to exclusive \(\beta\)-hydride elimination).

Thus, we predicted that a protecting group that combined both of these features would be highly effective: it would activate the alkyl C–N bond toward oxidative addition, stabilize intermediates prone to \(\beta\)-hydride elimination by coordination, and assist in C–C bond-forming reductive elimination. An
additional attractive feature of this strategy, which distinguishes it from other examples of olefin-directed transition metal-catalyzed reactions, would be that the protecting group could be cleaved post cross coupling, thus serving as a removable directing group.12

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Optimization Studies

Our studies commenced with an examination of a variety of alkene-bearing amide, carbamate, phosphoramide, and sulfonamide-protected aziridines (Table 1). Among these, aziridines bearing aryl sulfonyl groups proved uniquely effective (2 and 3), with 2 undergoing cross coupling under the influence of 7.5 mol % NiBr₂-DME, 2 equiv of p-TolZnBr, and 5 equiv of LiCl to afford a mixture of two regioisomeric products in 69% yield. All other classes of aziridine protecting group provided recovered starting material or starting material decomposition by β-hydride elimination (5–7). In accord with our previous observations and our hypothesis that an alkene is required to direct C−N bond formation, an aziridine protected with a simple tosyl group was also unreactive. Further studies indicated that modulation of the electronic properties of the alkene moderately influences yield (2 vs 3), whereas the spatial arrangement of alkene is critical for reactivity (2 vs 4). On the basis of these studies, the aryl sulfonyl protecting group bearing a cinnamate backbone (2) was selected for further studies. This protecting group, which we have abbreviated as cinsyl (Cn), can be easily synthesized on 100 g scale in two steps from inexpensive sodium 2-formylbenzenesulfonate.15

During our optimization studies with 2 we observed that inclusion of a superstoichiometric amount of LiCl afforded a substantial increase in the yield of cross-coupled product (entries 2 and 3, Table 2). By contrast, other metal halide additives showed a marginal or negative influence on reactivity (entry 4 and Supporting Information). We considered that this effect could be attributed to the reaction of LiCl with aziridine 2, generating a β-chloroamine intermediate that serves as the active electrophile in the Negishi arylation.16 However, control experiments suggest that this intermediate is not responsible for the observed increase in product formation (see Supporting Information for details). Other possibilities include that the lithium salt serves to break up polymeric zinc aggregates,17 assists in oxidative addition,18 or promotes transmetalation via the generation of higher order zincates.19 All of these effects have been previously invoked in the context of lithium halide-promoted Negishi coupling reactions and may be responsible for the observed increase in reactivity.

Evaluation of other reaction parameters revealed that a Ni catalyst is necessary for productive C−C bond formation (entries 5 and 6). Use of DMA as solvent, which was found to be important for the cross coupling of styrenyl aziridines, was in fact detrimental in this protocol (entry 7). Furthermore, inclusion of dimethyl fumarate 1, a necessary ligand additive for styrenyl aziridine cross coupling, resulted in depressed reaction efficiency, presumably by outcompeting the cinsyl protecting group for Ni coordination (entry 8).

It is worth noting that under the standard reaction conditions, cross coupling with 2 delivers a mixture of two regioisomers in 3.8:1 linear:branched ratio. Formation of the linear isomer as the major product is likely due to more facile oxidative addition of Ni to the less hindered C−N bond of the substrate. Notably, the regioselectivity is higher with the inclusion of halide additives (entries 2–4). This improvement would be expected if one of the roles of the halide additive, as discussed above, is to facilitate oxidative addition by the generation of an anionic and bulkier Ni catalyst. Additionally, it appears that the identity of the protecting group has a small but significant influence on the product ratio (Table 1). This influence on regioselectivity may arise from initial association of the Ni catalyst to the protecting group prior to oxidative addition. The data suggest the potential for future optimization by modulation of the protecting group.

### Scope Elucidation

The scope of the reaction was first explored by varying the identity of the nucleophile partner. As shown in Table 3, aziridine 2 was found to couple with an array of arylzinc reagents, including ortho-, meta-, and para-substituted nucleophiles (9–11). Sterically hindered nucleophiles are also viable in this new reaction, including 2,6-dimethylphenyl zinc bromide (12). The method is compatible with both electron-rich (13) and electron-poor (14–16) coupling partners, as well as arylzinc reagents bearing a variety of synthetically valuable functional groups (17–19). Notably, the use of a heteroaromatic nucleophile also led to cross-coupling.
coupling, albeit in moderate yield (20). Attempts to further expand the scope of the nucleophiles to aryl nitrile and ester-substituted zinc reagents were unfruitful, which may result from competitive binding of the unhindered Lewis basic groups to Ni. On the other hand, we were pleased to find that alkyl zinc reagents are suitable nucleophiles (22), even though the method was optimized for couplings with arylzinc reagents. For example, alkyl zinc reagents with a tertiary nitrile (23) and acetal (24) substitution underwent coupling in 74 and 53% yield under otherwise identical reaction conditions.

The scope of the electrophile was examined next (Table 4). Silyl ethers (25), alkyl chlorides (26), and furanyl esters (27) were well tolerated. Notably, the regioselectivity for the coupling reactions remained relatively invariant for these distinct terminal aziridines (and the range of nucleophiles examined in Table 3), in line with our proposal that the regioselectivity arises from a steric influence on oxidative addition. However, a similar regioisomeric ratio was obtained in the arylation of an aziridine bearing α-branching (29). This outcome is not entirely consistent with a steric argument and requires further study. Given this result, we were interested in how a 1,2-disubstituted aziridine and a styrenyl aziridine would perform under the coupling conditions. Exposure of 1,2-disubstituted (30) to the Ni-catalyzed conditions furnished the desired arylated product in a 1.5:1 L:B ratio favoring C−C bond formation at the expected less-hindered C2-position. For cinsyl protected styrenyl aziridine (31), comparable yield to that obtained via our earlier work with the N-Ts analogue of 31 was observed. This reaction is selective for the branched product, suggesting that weakening of the benzylic C−N bond overrides steric control. Finally, our investigation has identified certain limitations in the electrophile scope. A 1,1-disubstituted (32) and an acrylate-derived (33) aziridine did not undergo productive coupling due to the formation of stable β-hydride elimination byproducts. In addition, although trans-30 was reactive, a cis-substituted, cyclohexene-derived aziridine (34) underwent decomposition by conjugate addition, which can be rationalized on the basis of the known difference in ring-opening reactivity between cis- and trans-aziridines.

To further demonstrate the practicality of this protocol, a gram-scale reaction set up on the benchtop using aziridine (28) afforded β-substituted amine (9) in 68% yield. Additionally, a preliminary study indicated that the cinsyl group can be removed under standard conditions for Ts deprotection; subsequent protection with an Fmoc-group provided an unoptimized 46% yield for the two-step sequence (see Supporting Information for details).

Mechanistic Studies. Our proposed catalytic cycle is shown in Figure 1. Initial reduction of NiII to Ni0 in the presence of LiCl may generate an anionic Ni0−Cl complex primed for oxidative addition. Transient association of this metal complex with the cinsyl group likely occurs next (A), approximating the metal center to the aziridine, which would facilitate oxidative addition into the C−N bond (B). Subsequent transmetallation with the organozinc reagent cleaves the metallacyclic Ni−N bond (C), thereby allowing effective coordination of the Ni to the electron-deficient π-system (D). Assisted by this olefin ligand, reductive elimination furnishes the cross-coupled product.

To better understand the mechanism of oxidative addition, we evaluated two other substrates, one an enantiopure aziridine

Table 3. Evaluation of Nucleophile Scope

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleophile</th>
<th>Isolated yield</th>
<th>Regioselectivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MeO</td>
<td>68% yield</td>
<td>3:1 L:B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>74% yield</td>
<td>2:1 L:B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF3</td>
<td>77% yield</td>
<td>4:1 L:B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>66% yield</td>
<td>3:1 L:B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeO</td>
<td>50% yield</td>
<td>3:1 L:B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>60% yield</td>
<td>3:1 L:B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF3</td>
<td>77% yield</td>
<td>3:1 L:B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>66% yield</td>
<td>4:1 L:B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Evaluation of Electrophile Scope

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrophile</th>
<th>Isolated yield</th>
<th>Regioselectivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TBSO NCl</td>
<td>25% yield</td>
<td>1:1 L:B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl NCl</td>
<td>30% yield</td>
<td>1:1 L:B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMe NCl</td>
<td>77% yield</td>
<td>3:1 L:B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>50% yield</td>
<td>3:1 L:B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF3</td>
<td>77% yield</td>
<td>4:1 L:B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>66% yield</td>
<td>3:1 L:B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>60% yield</td>
<td>3:1 L:B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF3</td>
<td>77% yield</td>
<td>3:1 L:B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>66% yield</td>
<td>4:1 L:B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Isolated yields are the average of two runs, 0.08 mmol scale. Reaction was run at 50 °C. Reaction was run at 60 °C.
and the other a cyclopropyl-substituted aziridine (35). Starting from 2, we observed the generation of linear and branched \( \beta \)-substituted amines 9-L and 9-B in a 3.8:1 ratio. Branched product 9-B showed substantially eroded ee (24%), whereas the linear product 9-L was obtained in 99% ee (eq 3).

One explanation for this result is that oxidative addition occurs by a single-electron transfer (SET) pathway. Alternatively, oxidative addition could proceed by SN2 addition of Ni to 2 followed by racemization of an ensuing reaction intermediate due to the configurational instability of the Ni-C bond.22 The latter mechanism is most consistent with observation of predominantly linear product since a SET pathway would be expected to favor formation of the more substituted organic radical. Mechanistic studies of stoichiometric reactions with Ni and Pd by Hillhouse7 and Wolfe8 also support an SN2 oxidative addition over a SET pathway.23,24 Additionally, the fact that we observe no erosion in ee in the linear product 9-L implies that racemization must occur during or after an irreversible step in the catalytic cycle.

The outcome of cross coupling with aziridine 35 containing an adjacent cyclopropyl group was also in line with an \( S_N2/2 \) homolysis mechanism. Specifically, two ring-opened products, 36 and 37, were isolated in a 2.5:1 ratio when 35 was subjected to the standard reaction conditions (eq 4). Both of these products arise from oxidative addition at the more substituted carbon of the aziridine, presumably due to the fact that a cyclopropyl group, like the aromatic ring in substrate 31, facilitates oxidative addition at the adjacent electrophilic site by \( \pi \)-donation. Subsequent cyclopropane ring-opening, followed by C–C bond formation, would deliver 36 and 37. This outcome implies the intermediacy of a radical intermediate:25 the major product (36) would derive from a secondary radical at C3, whereas the minor product (37) would originate from a less-stable primary radical at C2.

We also prepared deuterium-labeled cis- and trans-38 to determine whether oxidative addition of Ni to the terminal position occurs by a mechanism similar to that for internal coupling. Performing a cross-coupling reaction with trans-38 (utilizing alkyl zinc reagent 39 as nucleophile) gave 40 in 57% yield and 2.8:1 L:B ratio. In order to determine the stereochemical composition of 40, both isomers of 40 were converted into piperidine 41 and its regioisomer (not shown) by a three-step procedure.2 H NMR analysis of 41 and comparison with authentic material prepared by an alternative synthetic protocol (see Supporting Information for details) revealed that 40L was formed as a mixture of diastereoisomers and suggests that oxidative addition to the terminal position proceeds by the same \( S_N2/2 \) homolysis mechanism as that for internal addition. As further confirmation, we found that the same diastereomeric mixture of products (41) was obtained when the reaction was initiated with cis-38.

\( \text{CONCLUSIONS} \)

In conclusion, we have developed a novel aziridine protecting group, which is able to sequentially activate an aziridine toward oxidative addition, direct the nickel catalyst to the C-N bond, and promote reductive elimination. This system represents the first use of a nonbenzylic or allylic Csp3-N bond as an electrophile in metal-catalyzed cross coupling and affords phenylethylamine derivatives.26 We have shown that C–C bond formation occurs in a stereoconvergent manner most consistent with an \( S_N2/2 \) homolysis mechanism for oxidative addition. Efforts to utilize alkene-containing directing groups to enable cross coupling with other traditionally unreactive electrophiles, as well as further mechanistic studies, are currently underway.
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(13) See the Supporting Information for other alkene-containing protecting groups.
(14) Side products arising from conjugate addition of the aryl zinc reagent to the starting material/product, as well as uncharacterized polymeric material, account for the mass balance of most reactions.